

Message

From: Alasti, Isabella@DTSC [Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 4/12/2018 6:30:00 PM
To: Bradfish, Larry [Bradfish.Larry@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Aerojet - MOU Area 40

Yes – I heard it came out of a conversation between mgmt. – but I’m not sure of the logic and I haven’t been able to talk to our branch chief (Charlie) since he’s in training the last 2 days. I hope to reach him before our call tomorrow. Talk soon – thanks, Isabella

From: Bradfish, Larry [mailto:Bradfish.Larry@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Alasti, Isabella@DTSC <Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Aerojet - MOU Area 40

Isabella,

It is my understanding that DTSC and EPA have worked this out previously and DTSC has agreed to not only to complete the RAP but oversee the RD/RA. Because this is still a NPL site, EPA has certain obligations it cannot transfer to the state, including preparation of a Proposed Plan, community involvement and preparation of a ROD. EPA will do a ROD after the RAP. Hopefully, we all will be on the same page and the ROD will act as a concurrence or affirmation of what the state has decided in the RAP. Once the ROD is completed, the state and Aerojet can move forward with the RD/RA work. I think you are correct that Aerojet is looking only to completion of the RAP by September. We can discuss more tomorrow during our call.

Larry

Larry Bradfish
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9, ORC-3
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3934
Email: bradfish.larry@epa.gov

From: Alasti, Isabella@DTSC [mailto:Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2018 8:25 AM
To: Bradfish, Larry <Bradfish.Larry@epa.gov>
Cc: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>
Subject: Aerojet - MOU Area 40

Hi Larry,

I’ve attached the MOU with my changes. The Project Manager and I have been in communication and are on the same page, but Charlie – signatory still needs to review it. But first I think we have some big conceptual issues to clarify about what DTSC is taking over. The RAP makes sense. The RD/RA is a bit odd since EPA needs to do a ROD (which should come after the RAP). I think we need to discuss this. Since EPA needs to do a ROD, we have timing issues and a lot of confusion in the MOU as written. As I tried to change/fix it, and in talking to program, it became clear there is a

logistical issue too. The RAP is the doc that the City needs. DTSC completes the RAP, then EPA completes the ROD. Why would it then transfer back again to DTSC to complete the RD/RA?

Maybe we can take a little time on our call tomorrow to go over this? thanks,

Isabella

Isabella Alasti
Senior Staff Counsel
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, Ca 95826
Tel: 916-255-3647
Cell: 916-275-4964

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.